Interview Summary	09/476,521 GROPP et al.		P et al.
	Examiner Luong	Art Unit 3682	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Luong	(3)		
(2) Horst M. Kasper	(4)		
Date of Interview 8/20/02			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes			
	·		
Claim(s) discussed: 1-16  Identification of prior art discussed:  Seim et al. and Mettler-Friedli			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:			ent was reached, or
The examiner suggested: (1) to file a divisional application			
unexpected results (secondary evidence or consideration) load capacity, etc. of the claimed invention relative to the			
correction.			
The affidavit of the inventor would be favorably considere	ed since the inventor i	s a member of the Seim	et al. group.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the su	bstance of the interview	(if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Mill already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). IOM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to the last Of DATE TO FILE A STAT	fice action has EMENT OF THE
		P	LUONG RIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3682
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, i	 if required